

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

OK

October 7, 2004

TO: Internal File

THRU: D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor *DWH*

FROM: *DD* Dana Dean, P.E., Senior Reclamation Hydrologist

RE: 2004 Second Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Banning Siding Loadout, C/007/0034-WQ04-2, Task ID # 2007

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES ☒ NO ☐

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

The Truck Dump Sump is the only MRP required monitoring site, other than the UPDES sites. Only one sample per year is required, in the "late fall" according to the MRP.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date

There is no commitment in the MRP to resample for baseline parameters.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES ☐ NO ☒

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1 st month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
2 nd month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
3 rd month,	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>

All DMRs reported "no flow".

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES ☒ NO ☐

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

All DMRs reported "no flow".

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES ☐ NO ☒

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

All DMRs reported "no flow".

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

No further actions are necessary at this time.